

# SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

**Sumter 2 School District** 

Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 8,732

Superintendent: Dr. J. Frank Baker Board Chair: Mr. Larry Addison

## **PERFORMANCE**

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		AYP STATUS	NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS
			General Performance	Closing the Gap		
2010	Average	Average	N/A	N/A	Not Met	CA
2009	Below Average	At-Risk	N/A	N/A	Not Met	CDI
2008	Below Average	Below Average	N/A	N/A	Not Met	NI

# **ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\***

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
0	1	10	5	0

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/24/2011. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

PASS	PASS					
PASS	2010 Reading	2010 Math	2010 Science	2010 Social Studies	2010 Writing	
	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	% Met or above	
District	70.5%	64.8%	63.1%	67.6%	67.4%	
Districts with Students Like Ours**	67.1%	63.4%	59.5%	65.0%	64.6%	
Average District	74.0%	70.6%	67.4%	71.0%	72.0%	

PASS	2010 Reading	2010 Math	2010 Science	2010 Social Studies	2010 Writing
	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary	%Exemplary
District	32.6%	24.8%	16.8%	18.8%	24.8%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	31.1%	24.2%	16.0%	22.1%	24.3%
Average District	39.7%	32.1%	21.9%	29.4%	33.4%

HSAP			
HSAP: 2nd Year Students	Passed 2 Subtests (%)	Passed 1 Subtest (%)	Passed No Subtests (%)
District	70.1%	16.5%	13.5%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	72.0%	14.7%	13.2%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2010 (%)			
District	85.4%		
Districts with Students Like Ours**	87.6%		

End of Course Test Passage Rate (%	6)
District	53.8%
Districts with Students Like Ours**	57.1%

On-Time Graduation Rate (%)		
District	76.0%	
Districts with Students Like Ours**	72.1%	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

#### NAEP\*

\* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

South Carolina	38	34	22	6
Nation	34	34	24	7
READING – GRAD				
South Carolina	32	44		23 2
Nation	26	43		28
	% Below Basic	% Basic, Proficie	ent, and A	dvanced
■ Below Basic □ E		■ Masic, Proficie  Advanced	ent, and A	Advanced

South Carolina	22	44	29	5
Nation	19	43	33	6
MATH – GRADE	8 (2009)			
South Carolina	31	39	23	7
Nation	29	39	25	7
	% Below Basic	% Basic, Proficient, a	and Advanced	
■ Below Basic □	Basic Proficie	ent Advanced		

SCIENCE – GRAD	DE 4 (2005)	ı		
South Carolina	36	39	23	2
Nation	34	39	25	2
SCIENCE – GRAD	DE 8 (2005)			
South Carolina	31	39	23	7
Nation	29	39	25	7
	% Below Basic	% Basic, Proficient, a	and Advance	:d
■ Below Basic □	Basic □ Proficient	Advanced		

#### **SC PERFORMANCE GOAL**

#### 2010 Goal:

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

#### 2020 Vision:

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

# Sumter 2 School District DISTRICT PROFILE

	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Median District
Students (n=8,732)				
Retention rate	1.5%	Down from 1.9%	2.9%	2.3%
Attendance rate	95.9%	Up from 95.7%	95.4%	95.8%
Eligible for gifted and talented	14.7%	Up from 10.8%	10.5%	14.3%
With disabilities other than speech	11.2%	Up from 10.6%	11.3%	10.5%
Older than usual for grade	4.2%	No Change	5.0%	4.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.1%	Down from 0.3%	0.8%	0.7%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	2.0%	Up from 1.6%	6.9%	12.1%
Successful on AP/IB exams	50.0%	N/A	48.3%	50.0%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	27.7%	Down from 30.5%	29.1%	31.4%
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	90	Up from 71	31	47
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	75	Up from 70	20	29
Annual dropout rate	2.1%	Down from 3.9%	3.6%	3.1%
Teachers (n=497)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	58.8%	Up from 54.4%	58.2%	58.8%
Continuing contract teachers	71.2%	Up from 61.4%	81.3%	81.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	9.1%	Down from 13.3%	4.9%	4.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	83.2%	Up from 81.3%	88.3%	89.3%
Teacher attendance rate	95.6%	Down from 95.9%	95.2%	95.3%
Average teacher salary*	\$44,310	Up 2.0%	\$45,518	\$46,618
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	0.2%	Down from 0.4%	0.4%	0.2%
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	4.2%	Down from 8.8%	2.1%	2.0%
Professional development days/teacher	13.9 days	Up from 13.6 days	13.1 days	12.6 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district	18.0	Up from 17.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	24.1 to 1	Up from 22.8 to 1	21.5 to 1	20.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.7%	No Change	89.3%	89.9%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$8,488	Up 3.4%	\$9,245	\$9,364
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	50.6%	Up from 48.8%	51.6%	53.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	53.9%	Up from 53.5%	54.7%	56.3%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Number of schools	15	No Change	7	9
Portable classrooms	0.0%	Down from 5.1%	2.0%	2.4%
Average age in years of school facilities	27 Years	No Change	30 Years	27 Years
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	15.0	No Change	6.0	8.0
Parents attending conferences	87.2%	Down from 89.1%	94.7%	97.1%
Average administrator salary	\$81,013	No Change	\$80,429	\$79,261
Number of charter schools	0	No Change	0	0
% of AYP objectives met	N/A		N/A	N/A
* Length of contract = 185+ days.				

Length of contract = 185+ days.

### RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES STUDENT PERFORMANCE

	PASS	HSAP	End-of-Course Tests
Passage Rate	I/S	N/A	N/A

# CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

School Name	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
There are no charter schools in this district.			

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

#### REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

Sumter School District Two serves approximately 8,600 students throughout Sumter County. We are a progressive industrial, agricultural, and rural community whose mission is to ensure the highest-quality education for each student. Our schools serve students from a 676 square mile area.

District Two is a fiscally responsible school district and prides itself on being a good steward of the taxpayers' dollars. We keep a close eye on our expenditures and make sure we spend the dollars where they are most needed. We continue to seek grants to enhance the quality of instruction in our district. We are currently completing building projects that have enabled us to make renovations and additions to eight of our schools and the Sumter County Career Center.

Two years ago, a quality assurance team from the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools recognized us as a "quality school system." The international accrediting organization visited the district and schools, certified that District Two met all the standards, and recommended that District Two receive the AdvancED Accreditation. At the time, only 20 other school districts in the state had earned this accreditation. Many areas were reviewed in earning the accreditation, which included an intense look at instruction, faculty and staff professional development, technology, communication, and leadership.

Although our District has made significant strides in test scores in most areas, our instructional leaders remain diligent in addressing areas for improvement in performance on the PASS and other standardized testing. District teachers continue to participate in professional development activities, studying the latest Standards In Practice, reviewing and learning from student results from Benchmark and MAP tests, and updating plans to increase student learning. The district staff development program continues to provide training in a variety of professional areas.

Although we faced dire budget cuts during the last two school years, our Board of Trustees remained committed to academic excellence and fiscal responsibility. District administrators and employees continue to provide and enhance the educational quality that exemplifies Sumter School District Two.

We are proud of our outstanding academic, athletic, and additional extracurricular programs. Our combined senior classes of 2010 from Crestwood and Lakewood High Schools earned a combined total of \$12.1 million in scholarship funds. It is an exciting time in Sumter School District Two. For up-to-date information in the district, visit our website at www.Sumter2.org, which includes links to all of our schools, or visit our schools and see firsthand what makes District Two such a special place.

J. Frank Baker, Superintendent

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data available.